arXiv:1410.1352v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 6 Oct 2014

Two-band conductivity of a $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film by reflectance measurements in the terahertz and infrared range

A Perucchi[1], B Joseph[2]‡, S Caramazza[2], M Autore[2], E Bellingeri[3], S Kawale[3], C Ferdeghini[3], M Putti[4], S Lupi[5] and P Dore[6]

[1] INSTM Udr Trieste-ST and Elettra Sincrotrone Trieste, Area Science Park, I-34012 Trieste, Italy

[2] Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Sapienza, P.le Aldo Moro 2, I-00185 Rome, Italy

[3] CNR-SPIN, Corso Perrone 24, I-16152 Genova, Italy

[4] CNR-SPIN and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova,Via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy

[5] CNR-IOM and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Sapienza, P.le Aldo Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy

[6] CNR-SPIN and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Sapienza, P.le Aldo Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy

E-mail: paolo.dore@roma1.infn.it

Abstract. We report an infrared spectroscopy study of a 200 nm thick $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film grown on $LaAlO_3$ with T_c=13.7 K. We analyze the 20 K normal state absolute reflectance R_N measured over a broad infrared range and the reflectance ratio R_S/R_N, R_S being the superconducting state reflectance, measured at 6 K in the terahertz range down to 12 cm^{-1}. We show that the normal state model conductivity is given by two Drude components, one of which much broader and intense than the other. In the superconducting state, we find that a gap Δ=37±3 cm^{-1} opens up in the narrow Drude band only, while the broad Drude band results to be ungapped, at least in the explored spectral range. Our results show that only a two-band model can coherently describe both normal and superconducting state data.

‡ Present address: Elettra Sincrotrone Trieste, Area Science Park, I-34012 Trieste, Italy

1. Introduction

Among the new iron based superconductors (IBS), the FeSe system, in spite of its rather low superconducting transition temperature (T_c=8 K), is of interest because of its simple structure with stacking of $FeSe_4$ tetrahedra layers, without the intermediate charge reservoirs [1], which are present between the $FeAs_4$ layers in iron pnictides. Furthemore, T_c can increase up to 14 K by doping with tellurium [2], and up to 37 K under pressure [3]. Finally, of particular interest is the finding that T_c can increase up to 65 K in a single layered FeSe film on $SrTiO_3$ [4]. As to the possible superconducting energy gaps in $FeSe_{1-x}Te_x$ systems, several experimental reports on their number, symmetry and value have not provided unambiguous results [5, 6, 7, 8], presumably owing to differences in sample quality, disorder effects, and to the different sensitivity of the various experimental techniques to different gaps. On the above basis, further studies on different $FeSe_{1-x}Te_x$ systems are mandatory.

In the present work we report an infrared spectroscopy study of a $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film, aimed at the study of the low energy electrodynamics of this chalcogenide system in both the normal and superconducting states. Infrared spectroscopy is indeed a powerful technique to investigate both charge dynamics and band structure as it probes both free carriers and interband excitations, thus providing a separation of the various contributions to the frequency dependent conductivity [9, 10]. In the superconducting state, in particular, mesurements performed in the THz region can provide direct information about formation and presence of energy gaps [11, 10]. In the case of pnictides, a large number of infrared/THz studies has been reported; for example, in the case of the widely studied Co-doped $BaFe_2As_2$ system, more than 15 works have been published, as reported in Ref.[12]. On the contrary, in the case of $FeSe_{1-x}Te_x$ systems, the number of infrared studies is until now rather limited (see the recent papers [13, 14] and references therein), owing presumably to the lack of high quality samples suitable for infrared/THz experiments. Therefore, a general agreement on the model conductivity and on number, symmetry and value of the possible superconducting gaps has not been achieved.

2. Experimental

The film under investigation is a $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film 200 nm thick deposited on a single crystal $LaAlO_3$ (001) substrate by an ultra high vacuum pulsed laser deposition (PLD). More details on sample preparation and morphology can be found in Ref.[15, 16, 17]. Note that the T_c of these samples (13.7 K in the present case) can be as high as 20 K, but strongly depends on film thickness, because of strain effects, and on the growth conditions, in particular on the growth rate [16]. The 20 K residual resistivity ρ_0 of the film investigated is close to 1 mΩ cm, as shown in the resistivity curve ρ(T) reported in inset (a) of Fig.1. It is worth to recall that Te doping in FeSe samples can give origin to significant nonstoichiometry, disorder and clustering phenomena. In the case of films,

these effects might lead to a higher defect density in the structure and thus to a lower DC conductivity compared to that of more ordered $FeSe_{1-x}Te_x$ single crystal and FeSe film samples. The presence of these defects is also confirmed by the superior pinning properties showed by PLD films in transport properties and by their direct observation by high resolution transmission electron microscopy [18].

The absolute reflectance $R(\omega)$ has been measured with a Bruker 70v interferometer in the 80-8000 cm^{-1} range § by using a gold mirror as reference and various beamsplitters, detectors and thermal sources. The 20 K $R(\omega)$ spectrum is reported up to 900 cm^{-1} in Fig. 1. In inset (b), the reflectance is shown in the full range together with that of $LaAlO_3$ [19]. It is evident that the spectrum of the film+substrate system is dominated by the reflectivity of the substrate, as expected in the case of a low conductivity film deposited on a highly reflecting substrate. Measurements performed on varying temperature from 300 to 20 K did not show remarkable effects of the temperature on the measured spectrum.

THz measurements in the superconducting state were made by using synchrotron radiation from the SISSI beamline [21] of the Elettra synchrotron; the interferometer was equipped with thick mylar beam splitters and a 1.6 K liquid helium bolometer. We measured the reflectance ratios $R(T)/R_N$ (with R_N being the 20 K normal state reflectance) by cycling the temperature up to 20 K and below T_c down to 6 K, without moving the sample. This technique does indeed provide results which are intrinsically unaffected by possible misalignments between sample and reference, and is thus essential in detecting the often small effects due to the superconducting transition [22, 23, 12, 24, 25].

Repeated measurements of the reflectance $R(T)$ at the minimum temperature 6 K (R_S), just above T_c at 15 K, and in the normal state at 20 K (R_N), provided reflectance ratios in the range 12-160 cm^{-1} ($\simeq$0.35-4.85 THz), as reported in Fig. 2a. We verified that, on increasing temperature, the $R(T)/R_N$ ratio progressively flattens, as expected. We remark that the use of a high-flux synchrotron source and the large size of the film surface allow high accuracy data like those in Fig. 2, extending down to a very low frequency.

3. Analysis and discussion

The presence of several bands crossing the Fermi level is predicted in IBS. Indeed, both the FeAs layer in pnictides and the FeSe layers in chalcogenides have holelike Fermi pocket at the zone center and electronlike Fermi pockets at the zone corners. Therefore, a minimal model can include one electron and one hole band, which give origin to two different Drude contributions in the system conductivity. However, we want to note that, for related systems, the possibility of orbital differentiation has been recently reported as a possible origin of different Drude bands [28, 29].

§ Useful conversion factors are: 1 eV=8066 cm^{-1}, 1 THz=33.4 cm^{-1}, 1 $\Omega^{-1}cm^{-1}$=4.78 cm^{-1}



Figure 1. Color online. Absolute reflectance $R(\omega)$ of the $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film at 20 K. Best fit curves according to the one-band and two-band models (see text) are reported. Inset (a): resistivity curve ρ(T). Inset (b): Full range $R(\omega)$ and two-band fit in log scale, compared with that of a bare $LaAlO_3$ substrate [19].

As to the superconductin state, in a two-band scenario, it has been shown that both superconducting gap functions have s-wave symmetry, but with a possible sign change between the two ($s_\pm$ symmetry state) [30, 31]. Experimentally, as reviewed in a recent work [8], two gaps were observed in a number of $FeSe_{1-x}Te_x$ samples by using different techniques. For 0.5<x< 0.6, a small gap was quoted at an energy as low as 0.51 meV [32], a large gap at an energy as high as 5.08 meV [33].

As to optical investigations, a two-band model was often adopted to describe the optical properties of different IBS systems (see for example Refs. [34, 35, 36] in the case of Co-doped $BaFe_2As_2$). To analyze the normal state spectrum we thus described the complex dielectric function $\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega) = \epsilon_1(\omega) + i\epsilon_2(\omega)$ by employing the standard Drude-Lorentz model [9, 10]:

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon_1(\omega)+i\epsilon_2(\omega) = \epsilon_\infty - \frac{\Omega_A^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega\Gamma_A} - \frac{\Omega_B^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega\Gamma_B} + \sum_j \frac{S_j^2}{{\omega_j}^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_j} \quad (1)$$

where ϵ_∞ is the real part of the dielectric function at high frequency. Ω_A (Ω_B) and Γ_A



Figure 2. Color online. (a) R(T)/R_N reflectance ratio at 15 K and at 6 K (R_S/R_N). The best fit curves of R_S/R_N by using one-band and two-band models are shown (see text). (b) model R_S and R_N spectra given by two-band best fit results

(Γ_B) are plasma frequency and scattering rate for the A (B) Drude contribution; ω_j, γ_j and S_j are position, width and strength of the $j-th$ Lorentz term accounting for a possible interband transition. Note that the two Drude components are simply added according to a parallel conductivity model [37]. We briefly recall [9, 10] that $\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)$ directly provides the complex refractive index $\tilde{n}(\omega) = n(\omega)+ik(\omega)$, which determines the optical response, and the complex conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}(\omega) = \sigma_1(\omega)+i\sigma_2(\omega)= i\omega[\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)-\epsilon_\infty]/4\pi$, which is usually introduced in discussing the low energy electrodynamics of the system. Here it is also worth to notice that, for a given Drude component, the d.c. conductivity $\sigma_0=\Omega^2/\Gamma$ is given by the zero-frequency value of the optical conductivity $\sigma_1(\omega)$, and that Ω^2 is proportional to the integral of $\sigma_1(\omega)$, *i.e.* to its spectral weight.

The model spectrum we employed to fit the experimental data is evaluated by using an ideal film+substrate system in which, besides the finite thickness of the film, possible reflections from the substrate via Fresnel equations [38, 10] are taken into account. Detailed data on the $LaAlO_3$ complex refractive index [19, 26] are used. The model spectrum thus only depends on the parameters in Eq. (1). We find that both models in which one Drude or two Drude terms are included (one-band and two-band model, respectively) can be used to describe the 20 K $R(\omega)$ spectrum, with similar accuracy.

In order to resolve such ambiguity, we turned to the study of the R_S/R_N spectrum. Here, we use the procedures successfully employed in the analysis of the THz response of different superconductors such as MgB_2 [37], V_3Si [23], and Co-doped Ba122 films [40, 12]. In this procedure, each Drude term can be substituted by the Zimmermann term [41], thus introducing two new parameters (superconducting gaps Δ_A and Δ_B) in the fitting procedure. Note that the Zimmermann model describes the electrodynamics of a superconductor with arbitrary gap Δ and relaxation rate Γ, thus generalizing the standard BCS Mattis-Bardeen model [11, 10].

Model spectra simultaneously fitted to both the R_N and the R_S/R_N spectra clearly show that good fits of both R_N and R_S/R_N in the THz region can be obtained only in the case of the two-band model, as shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2a. In Fig.2b we report the two-band R_S and R_N model spectra given by best fit results, to highlight the effect of the superconducting transition on the model reflectance spectrum. We remark that in the employed procedure, the simultaneous fitting of R_N and R_S/R_N imposes strong constrains to the parameter values. On the contrary, fitting procedures taking into account R_N and R_S/R_N separately, do not provide an univocal determination of the relevant parameters.

Our main findings are thus the following. In the first place, only a two-band model conductivity can describe all the experimental data, with one Drude component (A) taking up most of the spectral weight, being much broader than the other (B). It is worth to recall that a similar model conductivity has been adopted to describe the optical properties of different Co-doped $BaFe_2As_2$ systems [42, 43, 12]. Secondly, a superconducting gap clearly opens up in the narrow (B) Drude band (Δ_B=37$\pm$3 cm^{-1} $\simeq$4.6$\pm$0.4 meV), while the no gap signature in the broad (A) Drude band is detectable within the experimental accuracy in the explored spectral range. We remark that the presence of a small low energy gap, like that revealed at 0.51 meV$\simeq$4 cm^{-1} from μSR [32], cannot be ruled out on the basis of present data extending down to 12 cm^{-1}. The optical conductivity $\sigma_1(\omega)$ of the two bands in the normal state (σ_{1NA} and σ_{1NB}) is plotted in Fig.3a. Also the $\sigma_1(\omega)$ of the B-band in the superconducting state (σ_{1SB}) is plotted, in order to point out the effect of the optical gap at $2\Delta_B$.

It is worth reminding here that the reflectance measurements reported by Homes *et al.* [33] on a $FeSe_{0.45}Te_{0.55}$ single crystal in a wide frequency range (2 meV-3.5 eV) and the transmission experiments in the sub-terahertz region (5-35 cm^{-1}) reported by Pimenov *et al.* [14] on a $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film, were well accounted for by using a one-band model conductivity, at least well above T_c. On the contrary, in both papers a two-band, two-gap model was adopted in the superconducting state. We also remind that in the case of the reflectance measurements made for $T>T_c$ on a $FeSe_{0.3}Te_{0.7}$ [39] single crystal, two Drude components were employed to describe obtained results, at least well above T_c. In this non well defined scenario, we remark that our analysis is the first being based on a coherent modelling of results obtained in both normal and superconducting state.

As to the normal state parameters, we obtain Ω_A=7050 cm^{-1} and Γ_A=1050 cm^{-1},



Figure 3. Color online. (a) Optical conductivity $\sigma_1(\omega)$ of the A- and B-Drude bands in the normal state (σ_{1NA} and σ_{1NB}). In the superconducting state, the effect of the gap opening in the B-band is shown in σ_{1SB}. (b) Total $\sigma_1(\omega)$ in the normal (σ_{1N}) and superconducting (σ_{1S}) state. In the contribution σ_{1L} given by lorentzian terms, which is shown separately, the THz and MIR components are pointed out.

and thus σ_{0A}=790 Ω^{-1}cm^{-1} for the broad A-band. For the narrow B-band, Ω_B=1600 cm^{-1}, Γ_B=200 cm^{-1}, σ_{0B}=220 Ω^{-1}cm^{-1}. Consequently, the total *d.c.* conductivity $\sigma_{0tot}=\sigma_{0A}+\sigma_{0B}$ is close to 1000 Ω^{-1}cm^{-1}, corresponding to a normal state resistivity $\rho_0=1/\sigma_{0tot}$ close to 1 mΩ cm, in good agreement with the measured residual resistivity value (see inset (a) of Fig.1).

The total $\sigma_1(\omega)$ in the normal (σ_{1N}) and superconducting (σ_{1S}) states are shown in Fig.3b. The contribution σ_{1L} of the lorentzian terms which were included in the fitting procedure shows an intense mid-infrared component (MIR in Fig.3b) at about 2500 cm^{-1}, which may be interpreted in terms of intersite electronic transitions between Fe atoms [39]. The component located in the THz region at about 75 cm^{-1} (THz in Fig.3b) is analoguos to the one observed in Co-doped $BaFe_2As_2$ systems [36, 44, 12]), which was interpreted as an intrinsic excitation [45] due to the onset of low-energy interband transitions.

The validity of the two-band model employed in our analysis is supported by a comparison of our normal state results with those obtained by Yuan et al. [46] from the 8 K reflectance spectrum of a FeSe epitaxial film with T_c=7 K. In the FeSe film, a broad Drude band (1) takes up most of the spectral weight, with respect to a second narrower,

term (2) (Ω_1=10600 cm^{-1}, Ω_2=2700 cm^{-1}). Therefore, the overall plasma frequency $\Omega_{tot} = \sqrt{\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2}$ results to be close to 11000 cm^{-1} [46], while in the present case $\Omega_{tot} \simeq$7250 cm^{-1}. The discrepancy in the plasma frequency values can be explained by the better conductivity of the FeSe film of Ref. [46] with respect to our $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film, as noted in Sect.2. On the other hand, the ratios $\Omega_B^2/\Omega_{tot}^2$, providing the spectral weight of the narrow Drude component with respect to the total, are in excellent agreement: 0.06 for the FeSe film from Ref.[46], and 0.05 for our $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film. This result corroborates the validity of the two-band model conductivity approach.

As to the value of the superconducting gaps, our Δ_B estimate (37$\pm$3 cm^{-1}) is in very good agreement with that obtained in a strained $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film (Δ=33$\pm$3 cm^{-1}) [47] by using a femtosecond spectroscopy technique of the quasiparticles relaxation times. Note that this film and the one we investigated were prepared under the same conditions, in the same laboratory. As to the results obtained on different samples, Homes *et al.* [33] find that well below T_c the optical conductivity of a $FeSe_{0.45}Te_{0.55}$ single crystal is well modeled in the framework of a two-band, two-gap model with Δ_1= 20 cm^{-1} and Δ_2= 41 cm^{-1}. This result suggests either an $s_\pm$ or a nodeless extended s-wave gap functions. Also the conductivity of the $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film investigated by Pimenov *et al.* [14] in the sub-terahertz region was discussed below T_c within the framework of a two-gap model. In particular, the observed conductivity, besides showing weak features consistent with a low energy gap close to 12 cm^{-1}, was not completely suppressed below the corresponding optical gap, indicating the presence of a strongly anisotropic gap or nodes in the gap. Our model conductivity, in which the A-band is ungapped, at least in the explored frequency range, might also well be consistent with this scenario.

4. Conclusions

We have reported an infrared spectroscopy study of a $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ film with T_c=13.7 K, aimed at the study of the low energy electrodynamics of this chalcogenide system in both the normal and superconducting states. We analyze the 20 K normal state absolute reflectance R_N measured over the broad infrared range 80-8000 cm^{-1}, and the reflectance ratio R_S/R_N, R_S being the superconducting state reflectance, measured at 6 K in the terahertz range down to 12 cm^{-1}. We find that only a procedure in which both spectra are simultaneously fitted poses strong constrains to the model parameters, and can thus provide an univocal determination of the model conductivity. Similar to previous results obtained for pnictide systems, a two-band Drude model is needed, where one broad component provides the majority of the low-energy spectral weight. In the superconducting state, we find that a gap opens up in the narrow Drude B-band (Δ_B=37$\pm$3 cm^{-1} $\simeq$4.6$\pm$0.4 meV), while the broad Drude A-band results to be ungapped, in the sense that no signature of a Δ_A gap is evident in the explored spectral range.

On the basis of a comparison with literature data, showing that there is still no general agreement between different reports, we believe that it is not possible

to draw a definite conclusion on the presence of residual conductivity at finite frequencies in the superconducting state, as well as on number and symmetry of possible superconducting gaps. Further investigations on high quality Te-doped FeSe systems, possibly combining different experimental techniques, are thus mandatory. In particular, since inhomogeneities have been observed in these systems on a nanometer length scale [48, 18, 7], it will be important to investigate their possible effect on the optical response of a macroscopic sample portion, like that probed by an infrared beam.

Acknowledgments

The work in Trieste was partially supported by Italian Ministry of Research (MIUR) program FIRB Futuro in Ricerca grant no. RBFR10PSK4. Work in Rome and Genova was partially supported by the MIUR PRIN2012 Project No.2012X3YFZ2.

References

[1] Paglione J, Richard L and Greene 2010 *Nature Phys.* **6** 645.
[2] Fang M H, Pham H M, Qian B, Liu T J, Vehstedt E K, Liu Y, Spinu L and Mao Z Q 2008 *Phys. Rev. B* **78** 224503.
[3] Medvedev S, McQueen T M, Troyan I A, Palasyuk T, Eremets M I, Cava R J, Naghavi S, Casper F, Ksenofontov V, Wortmann G and Felser C 2009 *Nat. Mater.* **8** 630.
[4] Wang Q Y, LI Z, Zhang W H, Zhang Z C, Zhang J S, Li W, Ding H, Ou Y B, Deng P, Chang K, Wen J, Song C L, He K, Jia J F, Ji S H, Wang Y Y, Wang L L, Chen X, Ma X C and Xue Q K 2012 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **29** 037402.
[5] Miao H, Richard P, Tanaka Y, Nakayama K, Qian T, Umezawa K, Sato T, Xu Y M, Shi Y B, Xu N, Wang XP, Zhang P, Yang H-B, Xu Z J, Wen J S, Gu G D, Dai X, Hu J P, Takahashi T and Ding H 2012 *Phys. Rev. B* **85** 094506.
[6] Okazaki K, Ito Y, Ota Y, Kotani Y, Shimojima T, Kiss T, Watanabe S, Chen C T, Niitaka S, Hanaguri T, Takagi H, Chainani A and Shin S 2012 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** 237011.
[7] Singh U R, White S C, Schmaus S, Tsurkan V, Loidl A, Deisenhofer J and Wahl P 2013 *Phys. Rev. B* **88** 155124.
[8] Konno T, Adachi T, Imaizumi M, Noji T, Kawamata T,and Koike Y 2014 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **83** 094721.
[9] Wooten F 1972 *Optical Properties of Solids* (Academic Press, New York).
[10] Dressel M and Grüner G 2002 *Electrodynamics of Solids* (Cambridge University Press).
[11] Tinkham M 1996 *Introduction to Superconductivity* (McGraw-Hill).
[12] Perucchi A, Baldassarre L, Joseph B, Lupi S, Lee S, Eom C B, Jiang J Y, Weiss J D, Hellstron E E and Dore P 2013 *Eur. Phys. J. B* **86** 274.
[13] Rui-Hua Y and Nan-Lin W 2013 *Chin. Phys. B* **22** 087404.
[14] Pimenov A, Engelbrecht S, Shuvaev A M, Jin B B, Wu P H, Xu B, Cao L X and Schachinger E 2013 *New J. Phys.* **15** 013032.
[15] Bellingeri E, Buzio R, Gerbi A, Marre D, Congiu S, Cimberle MR, Tropeano M, Siri AS, Palenzona A and Ferdeghini C 2009 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **22** 105007.
[16] Bellingeri E, Pallecchi I, Buzio R, Gerbi A, Marre D, Cimberle M R, Tropeano M, Putti M, Palenzona A and Ferdeghini C, 2010 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **96** 102512.
[17] Gerbi A, Buzio R, Bellingeri E, Kawale S, Marr D, Siri A S, Palenzona A and Ferdeghini C 2012 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **25** 012001.

[18] Braccini V, Kawale S, Reich E, Bellingeri E, Pellegrino L, Sala A, Putti M, Higashikawa K, Kiss T, Holzapfel B and Ferdeghini C 2013 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 172601.
[19] Calvani P, Capizzi M, Donato F, Dore P, Lupi S, Maselli P and Varsamis C P, 1991 *Physica C* **181** 289.
[20] Zhang Z M, Choi B I, Flik M I and Anderson A C 1994 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **11** 2252.
[21] Lupi S, Nucara A, Perucchi A, Calvani P, Ortolani M, Quaroni L and Kiskinova M 2007 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **24** 959.
[22] Palmer L H and Tinkham M 1968 *Phys. Rev.* **165** 588.
[23] Perucchi A, Nicoletti D, Ortolani M, Marini C, Sopracase R, Lupi S, Schade U, Putti M, Pallecchi I, Tarantini C, Ferretti M, Ferdeghini C, Monni M, Bernardini F, Massidda S and Dore P 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 092509.
[24] Xi X, Park J H, Graf D, Carr G L and Tanner D B 2013 *Phys.Rev. B* **87** 184503.
[25] Xi X, Dai Y M,Homes C C, Kidszun M, Haindl S and G.L. Carr 2013 *Phys.Rev. B* **87** 180509.
[26] Giovenale E, Boffa V, Dore P, Doria A, Gallerano G P, Kimmit M F, Trippetti R and Spassovsky I 1995 *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A* **358** 9.
[27] Xi X, Hwang J, Martin C, Tanner D B and Carr G L 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 257006.
[28] Wang Z, Schmidt M, Fischer J, Tsurkan V, Greger M, Vollhardt D, Loidl A and Deisenhofer J 2014 *Nat. Commun.* **5** 3202.
[29] Yi M, Lu D H, Yu R, Riggs S C, Chu J H , Lv B, Liu Z K, Lu M, Cui Y T, Hashimoto M, Mo S K, Hussain Z, Chu C W, Fisher I R, Si Q and Shen Z X 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 067003.
[30] Schachinger E and Carbotte J P 2011 *Phys. Rev. B* **84** 134522.
[31] Hirschfeld J P, Korshunov M M and Mazin I I 2011 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **74** 124508.
[32] Bendele M, Weyeneth S, Puzniak R, Maisuradze A, Pomjakushina E, Conder K, Pomjakushin V, Luetkens H, Katrych S, Wisniewski A, Khasanov R and Keller H 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 224520.
[33] Homes C C, Akrap A, Wen J, Xu Z, Lin Z W, Li Q and Gu G 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 180508(R); Homes C C, Akrap A, Wen J S, Xu Z J, Lin Z W, Li Q and Gu G D 2011 *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **72** 505.
[34] Kim K W, Rössle M, Dubroka A, Malik V K, Wolf T and Bernhard C 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 214508.
[35] Wu D, Barišić N, Kallina P, Faridian A, Gorshunov A, Drichko B, Li L J, Lin X, Cao G H, Xu Z A, Wang N L and Dressel M, 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 100512.
[36] van Heumen E van, Huang Y, de Jong D, Kuzmenko A B, Golden M S and van der Marel M S 2010, *Eur. Phys. Lett.* 2010 **90** 37005.
[37] Ortolani M, Dore P, Di Castro D, Perucchi A, Lupi S, Ferrando V, Putti M, Pallecchi I, Ferdeghini C and Xi XX 2008 *Phys. Rev. B* **77** 100507.
[38] Berberich P, Chiusuri M, Cunsolo S, Dore P, Kinder H and Varsamis C P, 1993 *Infrared Phys.* **34** 269.
[39] Mirri C, Calvani P, Vitucci F M, Perucchi A, Yeh K W, Wu M K and Lupi S 2012 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **25** 045002.
[40] Perucchi A, Baldassarre L, Lupi S, Jiang J Y, Weiss J D, Hellstron E E, Lee S, Bark C W, Eom C B, Putti M, Pallecchi I, Marini C and Dore P 2010 *Eur. Phys. J. B* **77** 2.5
[41] Zimmermann W, Brandt E H, Bauer M, Seider E and Genzel L 1991 *Physica C* **183** 99.
[42] Lucarelli A, Dusza A, Pfuner F, Lerch P, Analytis J G, Chu J H, Fisher I R, Degiorgi L 2010 *New J. Phys.* **12** 073036.
[43] Nakajima M, Ishida S, Kikhou K, Tomioka Y, Ito T, Yoshida Y, Lee C H, Kito H, Iyo A, Eisaki H, Kojima K M and Uchida S 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 104528.
[44] Lobo R P S M, Dai Y M, Nagel U, Room Y, Carbotte J P, Timusk T, Forget A and Colson D 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **82** 100506.
[45] Benfatto L, Cappelluti E, Ortenzi L and Boeri L 2011 *Phys. Rev. B* **83** 224514.
[46] Yuan R H, Kong W D, Yan L, Ding H and Wang N L 2013 *Phys. Rev. B* **87** 144587.
[47] Bonavolontá, Parlato L, Pepe G P, de Lisio C, Valentino M, Bellingeri E, Pallecchi I, Putti M and

Ferdeghini C 2013 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **26** 075018.

[48] Joseph B, Iadecola A, Puri A, Simonelli L, Mizuguchi Y, Takano Y and Saini N L 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **82** 020502.